

State of Utah

Department of Natural Resources

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

JOHN R. BAZA Division Director JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR. Governor

> GARY R. HERBERT Lieutenant Governor

> > July 7, 2006

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7004 2510 0004 1824 7715

George Young
Palladon Iron Corporation
554 South 300 East
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111-3509

Subject: Proposed Assessment, Notice of Violation MN-2006-02-02, Palladon Iron Corporation (PIC), Iron Mountain, M/021/008, Iron County, Utah

Dear Mr. Young:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced notice of violation. This is only a "proposed" assessment and the final assessment will be sent to you after the abatement requirements of the violation have been completed and the violation has been terminated. The cessation order was issued by Division Inspector, Doug Jensen, on June 9, 2006. Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty for the violation as follows:

• MN-06-02-02-01- Violation 1 of 1 \$1,210

The enclosed worksheet specifically outlines how the violation was assessed.

By these rules, any written information, which was submitted, by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this notice of violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty. If the violation has not been abated at the time of the proposed assessment, the assignment of good faith points cannot be made. If you feel that you are eligible for good faith, you should supply relevant information to the assessment officer within 15 days of the violation abatement date so that it can be factored into the final assessment.

Page 2 of Z George Young M/021/008 July 7, 2006

Otherwise, under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

- 1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of the Violation</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director, Associate Director or appointed Conference Officer. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.
- 2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph one, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the violation will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vickie Southwick.

Sincerely,

Daron R. Haddock Assessment Officer Haddock

DRH/vs

Enclosure: Worksheet

P:\GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M021-Iron\M0210008-IronMtn\Non-Compliance\ProassessmentCO06-02-02.doc

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

COM	IPANY /	MINE	Palladon Iron Cor	poration/ Iron Mo	untain Mine	PERMIT <u>M/021/008</u>			
NOV	// CO#	MC-(06-02-02-01		VIOLATION	<u>1</u> of <u>1</u>			
ASSI	ESSMEN	T DAT	TE July 6, 200	06					
ASSI	ESSMEN	T OFF	ICER <u>Daron R. 1</u>	Haddock					
I.	HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.11)								
	A.	A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall within three (3) years of today's date?							
	PREV	IOUS V	VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE	DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for CO)			
	none								
п.	SERIC	SERIOUSNESS (Max 45pts) (R647-7-103.2.12)							
	NOTE		For assignment of	f points in Parts II	and III, the foll	owing apply:			
		1.		ased on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will etermine within each category where the violation falls.					
		2.	adjust the points u	mid-point of the ca up or down, utilizing ling documents.	ng the inspector	essment Officer will e's and operator's			
		Is this (assig) violation? <u>l</u>	Event					
	A.	EVEN							
		1.	What is the event	which the violated	l standard was	designed to prevent?			

Mining without appropriate approvals/ Environmental harm

2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent?

PROBABILITY	RANGE
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 20

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** An Operator is required to obtain a permit from the Division of Oil Gas and Mining prior to conducting mining operations. While the Operator had a permit, his plans and approval did not extend to the area that was being mined. He was mining and processing rock from an area that had not been identified for mining nor was it covered by the current bond. The event of conducting activities without appropriate approvals had actually occurred.

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?

RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS __4_

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** Since the operations were being done in a previously disturbed area (pre-law), there was minimal damage to the environment and the probability of injury to the public was very low. Disturbance was estimated to impact approximately 1 acre. There was no danger that this activity would have extended off the permit area. The inspector stated that only minimal damage had occurred as a result of the violation. The damage is considered minimal and points are assigned in the lower part of the range.

B. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS</u> (Max 25pts)

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS N/A

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 24

III. <u>DEGREE OF FAULT</u> (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13)

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, the failure to abate any violation due to the same or was economic gain realized by the permittee? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence 0
Negligence 1-15
Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligence

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS __7_

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** The Operator was unfamiliar with the extent of the areas bonded to be disturbed within the permit area. The site had recently been acquired from another entity and the current operator is still developing mining plans. A prudent operator would understand the need to have approval prior to mining in any area and would be careful to keep disturbances to those areas that are approved for disturbance and bonded. Because the operator was not careful he allowed disturbance on the permit that was not authorized. This indicates indifference to the rules. The Operator is considered negligent because he mined an area without having it approved for disturbance. Points are assigned in the middle part of the negligence range.

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

X Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*

(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

X Rapid Compliance -1 to -10

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

X Normal Compliance

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

- *Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.
- B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

- X Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
 (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- X Normal Compliance -1 to -10*
 (Operator complied within the abatement period required)
- X Extended Compliance 0

 (Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)

 (Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT?

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS _____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** The abatement has not yet been completed, so good faith points cannot be awarded at this time. This category will be looked at again after the abatement has been completed. Points will be awarded depending on how quickly the abatement is met.

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

NOT	TICE OF VIOLATION # MC-06-02-0	02-01
I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	24
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	31
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$ 1,210